

# JACK: Just-in-time Autonomous Cross-chain Kernel

## A Formal Architecture for Intent-Based, Privacy-Preserving and Policy-Enforced DeFi Execution

JACK Research Group

2026

### Abstract

The rapid fragmentation of liquidity, execution venues, and state across heterogeneous blockchain ecosystems has produced an execution-layer bottleneck for decentralized finance. While bridges, aggregators, and routers enable cross-chain value movement, they do not offer a unified, programmable execution abstraction nor a policy-enforced settlement layer.

This paper introduces JACK (Just-in-time Autonomous Cross-chain Kernel), a protocol-level execution kernel that transforms high-level user intents into verifiable, privacy-preserving, and policy-constrained cross-chain execution plans. JACK decouples intent expression, solver-based execution, cryptographic constraint enforcement, and venue-specific settlement adapters. We formalize an execution model in which off-chain autonomous agents coordinate cross-chain execution under encrypted constraints and on-chain programmable market policies, enabling Uniswap v4 hooks and similar venues to act as autonomous execution controllers.

We present a formal intent language, solver competition model, encrypted constraint evaluation layer, and a cryptographically verifiable execution pipeline. We further describe a new DeFi execution algorithm that combines private constraint evaluation with public settlement validation, enabling programmable market policy enforcement without revealing execution strategies prior to settlement.

## Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>System Architecture</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Kernel Model	3
<b>3</b>	<b>Intent Model</b>	<b>4</b>
3.1	Formal Intent Definition	4
3.2	Public and Private Components	4
3.3	Constraint Vector	4
<b>4</b>	<b>Solver-Based Execution</b>	<b>4</b>
4.1	Solver Role	4
4.2	Competition Model	5
<b>5</b>	<b>Privacy and Constraint Enforcement</b>	<b>5</b>
5.1	Encrypted Constraint Evaluation	5
5.2	Constraint Proof Object	5
5.3	FHE-Based Enforcement Layer	5

<b>6</b>	<b>Cross-Chain Routing Layer</b>	<b>5</b>
6.1	Routing Abstraction . . . . .	5
6.2	Multi-Hop Cross-Domain Execution . . . . .	6
<b>7</b>	<b>Settlement Adapter Layer</b>	<b>6</b>
7.1	Venue Interface . . . . .	6
7.2	Programmable Policy Venues . . . . .	6
<b>8</b>	<b>Policy-Enforced Market Execution</b>	<b>6</b>
8.1	Hook as Policy Agent . . . . .	6
8.2	Dual-Agent Architecture . . . . .	6
<b>9</b>	<b>Execution Algorithm</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>Cryptographic Verification Pipeline</b>	<b>7</b>
10.1	Execution Correctness . . . . .	7
10.2	Public Verifiability . . . . .	7
<b>11</b>	<b>Adversarial Model</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>12</b>	<b>Security Properties</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>13</b>	<b>New DeFi Primitive: Policy-Constrained Private Execution</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>14</b>	<b>Implementation Notes</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>15</b>	<b>Evaluation and Benchmarks</b>	<b>8</b>
<b>16</b>	<b>Limitations and Future Work</b>	<b>9</b>
<b>17</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>9</b>

# 1 Introduction

Decentralized finance has evolved from single-chain composability into a multi-chain execution environment. However, the dominant user interaction paradigm remains transaction-centric: users explicitly select routes, bridges, and execution venues. This model fails to scale across heterogeneous ecosystems and exposes execution strategies to adversarial observation and manipulation.

JACK proposes a kernel-level abstraction in which users express execution *intents* rather than transactions. Execution is delegated to autonomous solvers that compete to satisfy the intent under cryptographically enforced constraints. Final settlement is performed by programmable on-chain execution venues equipped with policy logic (e.g., Uniswap v4 hooks).

JACK is designed as infrastructure, not as an application or market. It provides a general execution substrate upon which specialized financial primitives—such as regional currencies, treasury automation, or market making agents—can be built.

## 2 System Architecture

JACK is decomposed into five orthogonal layers:

1. Intent Layer
2. Solver and Coordination Layer
3. Privacy and Constraint Enforcement Layer
4. Execution Routing Layer
5. Settlement Adapter Layer

### 2.1 Kernel Model

The JACK kernel is formally defined as the tuple:

$$\mathcal{K} = \langle \mathcal{I}, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{C}, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{V} \rangle$$

where:

- $\mathcal{I}$  denotes the intent representation system,
- $\mathcal{S}$  denotes the solver set,
- $\mathcal{C}$  denotes cryptographic constraint enforcement mechanisms,
- $\mathcal{R}$  denotes cross-chain routing primitives,
- $\mathcal{V}$  denotes settlement venues.

Each layer operates independently but exposes standardized interfaces to the kernel.

## 3 Intent Model

### 3.1 Formal Intent Definition

An intent is defined as:

$$I = \langle U, A, T, \Phi, \Omega \rangle$$

where:

- $U$  is the user identifier,
- $A$  is the target asset or asset vector,
- $T$  is the destination execution environment,
- $\Phi$  is the encrypted constraint vector,
- $\Omega$  is the public execution envelope.

### 3.2 Public and Private Components

The intent is split into:

- a public descriptor  $I_{pub}$  containing routing compatibility information,
- a private descriptor  $I_{priv}$  containing execution bounds and preferences.

$$I = (I_{pub}, Enc(I_{priv}))$$

This separation allows solvers to construct execution plans without access to sensitive strategy parameters.

### 3.3 Constraint Vector

The private constraint vector contains:

- maximum slippage bounds,
- execution deadlines,
- minimum output guarantees,
- market policy restrictions,
- execution venue requirements.

## 4 Solver-Based Execution

### 4.1 Solver Role

Solvers act as autonomous agents which attempt to satisfy intents. A solver produces a candidate execution plan:

$$\pi = \langle r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n, v \rangle$$

where each  $r_i$  is a routing or bridging primitive and  $v$  is a settlement venue.

## 4.2 Competition Model

Solvers compete by submitting commitments to execution plans. The kernel verifies:

1. compatibility with public intent envelope,
2. cryptographic satisfaction of encrypted constraints,
3. verifiability of final settlement.

## 5 Privacy and Constraint Enforcement

### 5.1 Encrypted Constraint Evaluation

JACK employs fully homomorphic evaluation over encrypted constraint vectors.

Let  $c$  denote a private constraint and  $x$  a solver-generated execution parameter.

Solvers must prove:

$$Eval(Enc(c), x) = \text{true}$$

without revealing  $c$ .

The evaluation function is executed inside a privacy execution environment compatible with encrypted computation.

### 5.2 Constraint Proof Object

A solver produces a proof:

$$\Pi_{priv} = \text{Prove}(Enc(c), x)$$

which can be verified by the kernel without decrypting  $c$ .

### 5.3 FHE-Based Enforcement Layer

The kernel defines a constraint circuit  $F$  such that:

$$F(c, x) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$$

The solver only publishes:

$$Enc(F(c, x))$$

and a validity witness.

## 6 Cross-Chain Routing Layer

### 6.1 Routing Abstraction

JACK defines a routing graph:

$$G = (V_{chains}, E_{bridges})$$

Each edge contains:

- execution cost,
- settlement latency,

- risk weight.

Routing is performed under encrypted cost preferences.

## 6.2 Multi-Hop Cross-Domain Execution

Execution plans may traverse heterogeneous environments:

$$Chain_i \rightarrow Bridge_j \rightarrow Chain_k$$

without exposing path selection strategy to observers.

## 7 Settlement Adapter Layer

### 7.1 Venue Interface

Each settlement venue  $v$  implements:

$$Execute(v, \pi) \rightarrow tx$$

and exposes:

$$Verify(v, tx) \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$$

### 7.2 Programmable Policy Venues

Venues may embed on-chain programmable logic that enforces market and policy constraints during execution.

In JACK, Uniswap v4 pools equipped with hooks act as policy-enforced settlement venues.

## 8 Policy-Enforced Market Execution

### 8.1 Hook as Policy Agent

Let  $P$  denote a market policy function:

$$P(s_{pool}, s_{market}, \theta) \rightarrow \{allow, reject, modify\}$$

where:

- $s_{pool}$  is current pool state,
- $s_{market}$  is reference state,
- $\theta$  is policy configuration.

Hooks are invoked during execution and operate as autonomous agents enforcing policy decisions.

### 8.2 Dual-Agent Architecture

JACK explicitly separates:

- off-chain autonomous solvers,
- on-chain autonomous policy agents.

This creates a two-layer agentic execution system.

## 9 Execution Algorithm

---

**Algorithm 1** JACK Kernel Execution

---

- 1: User submits intent  $I$
- 2: Kernel publishes  $I_{pub}$  and stores  $Enc(I_{priv})$
- 3: Solvers generate candidate plans  $\pi$
- 4: **for all** solver submissions **do**
- 5:     Verify public compatibility
- 6:     Verify encrypted constraint proof  $\Pi_{priv}$
- 7: **end for**
- 8: Select winning solver  $\pi^*$
- 9: Execute routing steps
- 10: Submit settlement to venue  $v$
- 11: Enforce policy via venue logic
- 12: Verify settlement

---

## 10 Cryptographic Verification Pipeline

### 10.1 Execution Correctness

An execution is valid if and only if:

$$Verify(\Pi_{priv}) \wedge Verify(v, tx)$$

### 10.2 Public Verifiability

Observers can independently verify:

- settlement correctness,
- policy execution,
- venue execution trace.

They cannot recover private intent parameters.

## 11 Adversarial Model

We consider:

- malicious solvers,
- adversarial observers,
- malicious routing infrastructure,
- partially malicious settlement venues.

We assume cryptographic hardness of FHE schemes and correctness of venue execution environments.

## 12 Security Properties

1. **Intent Privacy:** execution constraints are hidden prior to settlement.
2. **Solver Non-Censorship:** multiple solvers compete.
3. **Policy Enforceability:** settlement cannot bypass on-chain policy logic.
4. **Execution Integrity:** cryptographic verification binds execution to intent.
5. **Venue Agnosticism:** kernel does not depend on specific market implementations.

## 13 New DeFi Primitive: Policy-Constrained Private Execution

We define a new primitive:

*Policy-Constrained Private Execution (PCPE).*

A PCPE system satisfies:

1. private execution strategy,
2. public settlement verifiability,
3. programmable execution rejection or modification,
4. cryptographically enforced constraint satisfaction.

This primitive generalizes market execution beyond swaps and enables policy-aware financial automation.

## 14 Implementation Notes

- Frontend: TypeScript, React, intent encoding
- Kernel coordination: off-chain services
- Smart contracts: Solidity settlement adapters
- Venue policies: Uniswap v4 hooks
- Encrypted constraint layer: FHE-compatible runtime
- Routing: multi-chain aggregation SDKs

## 15 Evaluation and Benchmarks

We measure:

- constraint evaluation latency,
- solver competition throughput,
- settlement overhead,
- policy execution gas cost.

Preliminary experiments show that encrypted constraint evaluation dominates off-chain cost, while on-chain policy enforcement adds bounded overhead relative to standard execution.

## 16 Limitations and Future Work

- scalability of FHE constraint circuits,
- decentralized solver reputation systems,
- formal verification of venue policies,
- cross-venue composability of policy logic,
- on-chain dispute resolution mechanisms.

## 17 Conclusion

JACK introduces a kernel abstraction for decentralized execution across heterogeneous environments. By combining encrypted intent constraints, solver-based execution, and programmable settlement venues, JACK enables a new class of autonomous, privacy-preserving and policy-aware DeFi systems. The architecture elevates execution itself into a programmable primitive and positions market venues as enforceable execution controllers rather than passive liquidity providers.

## References

- [1] Craig Gentry. Fully Homomorphic Encryption Using Ideal Lattices. STOC, 2009.
- [2] Daian et al. Flash Boys 2.0: Frontrunning, Transaction Reordering, and Consensus Instability in Decentralized Exchanges. IEEE S&P, 2020.
- [3] Uniswap Labs. Uniswap v4 Core Architecture.